arXiv:1511.04135v2 [math.QA] 20 Jun 2016

PRESENTING HECKE ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS BY HASSE QUIVERS WITH RELATIONS

JIE DU, BERNT TORE JENSEN, AND XIUPING SU

Abstract. A Hecke endomorphism algebra is a natural generalisation of the q-Schur algebra associated with the symmetric group to a Coxeter group. For Weyl groups, B. Parshall, L. Scott and the first author [9, 10] investigated the stratification structure of these algebras in order to seek applications to representations of finite groups of Lie type. In this paper we investigate the presentation problem for Hecke endomorphism algebras associated with arbitrary Coxeter groups. Our approach is to present such algebras by quivers with relations. If R is the localisation of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ at the polynomials with the constant term 1, the algebra can simply be defined by the so-called idempotent, sandwich and extended braid relations. As applications of this result, we first obtain a presentation of the 0-Hecke endomorphism algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ and then develop an algorithm for presenting the Hecke endomorphism algebras over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ by finding torsion relations. As examples, we determine the torsion relations required for all rank 2 groups and the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_4$.

1. Introduction

Since I. Schur first introduced Schur algebras for linking representations of the general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, a continuous group, with those of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$, a finite group, this class of algebras has been generalised to various objects in several directions. For example, by the role they play in the Schur–Weyl duality, there are affine and super generalisations and their quantum analogues, which are known as (affine, super) q-Schur algebras (see, e.g., [20], [5], [15], [24]). As homomorphic images of a universal enveloping algebra, generalised Schur algebras and their quantum analogues are investigated not only for type A but also for other types (see, e.g., [6], [11]). By their definition as endomorphism algebras of certain permutation modules of symmetric groups, they have a natural generalisation to Hecke endomorphism algebras associated with finite Coxeter groups (see, e.g., [8], [9], [12]).

Recently, with a completely different motivation, advances have been made in categorifying Hecke endomorphism algebras. For example, M. Mackaay, et al, obtained a diagrammatic categorification of the q-Schur algebra [22] and some affine counterpart [23], while G. Williamson [28] investigated a more general class of Hecke categories

Date: June 21, 2016.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from ARC and EPSRC under grants DP120101436 and EP/1022317/1. The work was initiated during the first author's visit to the University of Bath at the end of 2013 and was completed while the second and third authors were visiting UNSW on their sabbatical leave in 2015. We would like to thank UBath and UNSW for their hospitality during the writing of the paper.

associated with any Coxeter systems (W, S) (called Schur algebroids loc.cit.), and categorified them in terms of singular Soergel bimodules. As seen from these works, a presentation of an algebra by generators and relations is related to the categorification of Hecke-endomorphism algebras. In fact, the question of presenting the Hecke endomorphism algebras by generators and relations was raised in Remark 2.2 in [28].

Unlike the q-Schur algebras, Hecke endomorphism algebras do not have a direct connection to Lie algebras and quantum groups and thus cannot be presented as a quotient of a quantum group. However, by viewing them as enlarged Hecke algebras, they can be presented with generators and relations, which are rooted in Hecke (algebra) relations. This paper is going to tackle the presentation problem in this direction.

Our approach is to present these algebras by quivers with relations, following closely the idea of using Hecke relations. First, by assuming the invertibility of all Poincaré polynomials in the ground ring R, we consider in Sections 3 and 4 a quiver Q' with loops and impose the Hecke relations directly on the loops. We then replace the loops by cycles of length 2 to obtain a quiver Q with no loops and braid relations are imposed explicitly again. Now, by thinking of the generators displayed in [28, Cor. 2.12], we take a subset labelled by $I, J \subseteq S$ satisfying $I \sqsubset J$ (see (2.5.1)) and introduce in Section 5 a Hasse quiver $\tilde{Q}$ to replace Q. It turns out that the algebra over R can simply be presented by $\tilde{Q}$ together with the so-called extended braid relations and some obvious relations, called idempotent relations and sandwich relations (see Theorem 5.1).

The first application of this result is to obtain a presentation of the 0-Hecke endomorphism algebras by specialising q to 0 in Section 6. These degenerate algebras have attracted some attention (see, e.g., [25], [2], [13], [26],[18], [19], [17], [4]) and have also nice applications. For instance, J. Stembridge [27] used the 0-Hecke algebra to give a derivation of the Möbius function of the Bruhat order, while X. He [16] gave a more elementary construction of a monoid structure by A. Berenstein and D. Kazhdan [1].

We then investigate the integral case in Sections 7 and 8. We analyse the gap between the presentation over R and a possible presentation over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. The idempotent relations can be replaced by the so-called quasi-idempotent relations, the sandwich relations are unchanged. The challenge is how to replace the extended braid relations by some torsion relations. We develop an algorithm and compute the examples of rank 2 and of type A_3. The rank 2 case is relatively easy, the required torsion relations are simply the refined braid relations. Note that a recursive version of this case is done by B. Elias [14, Prop. 2.20]. However, the A_3 case is more complicated. On top of the refined braid relations, there are two more sets of torsion relations, see Theorem 8.3. We believe that the algorithm can be used to compute the other lower rank cases.

2. Hecke algebras and Kazhdan–Lusztig generators

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let $\ell : W \to \mathbb{N}$ be the length function with respect to S and $\leq$ the Bruhat order.

For $I \subseteq S$, let $W_I = \langle s \mid s \in I \rangle$ be the parabolic subgroup generated by I. We say $I \subseteq S$ is *finitary* if W_I is finite, and in this case we denote by w_I the longest element in W_I. Let

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(W) = \{I \subseteq S \mid I \text{ is finitary}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^* = \Lambda \setminus \emptyset,$$

where $\emptyset \in \Lambda$ is the empty set.

For $I \in \Lambda$, we will also denote by $D_I := D_{W_I}$ the set of all distinguished (or shortest) representatives of the right cosets of W_I in W. Let $D_{IJ} = D_I \cap D_J^{-1}$, where $I, J \in \Lambda$. Then D_{IJ} is the set of shortest W_I-W_J double coset representatives. Symmetrically, let D_{IJ}^+ be the set of longest representatives of W_I-W_J double cosets.

For $d \in D_{IJ}$, the subgroup $W_I^d \cap W_J = d^{-1} W_I d \cap W_J$ is a parabolic subgroup associated with an element in Λ, which will be denoted by $I^d \cap J \in \Lambda$. In other words, we define

$$W_{I^d \cap J} = W_I^d \cap W_J. \tag{2.0.1}$$

Moreover, the map

$$W_I \times (D_{I^d \cap J} \cap W_J) \longrightarrow W_I d W_J, \quad (w, y) \longmapsto wdy$$

is a bijection.

The Hecke algebra $H_q = H_q(W)$ corresponding to W is a free $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-module, with basis $\{T_w \mid w \in W\}$ and generators T_s, $s \in S$, subject to the relations (see, e.g., [3, Ch. 7]):

(H1) $T_s^2 = (q-1)T_s + q$ for any $s \in S$;

(H2) $\underbrace{T_s T_t T_s \dots}_{m_{s,t}} = \underbrace{T_t T_s T_t \dots}_{m_{s,t}}$, where $s \neq t$ and $m_{s,t}$ is the order of st in W.

Here $T_w = T_{s_1} T_{s_2} \cdots T_{s_l}$ if $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_l$ is a reduced expression. The relations (H2) are called braid relations associated with W and we call the relations (H1) and (H2) *Hecke relations*. Note that H_q admits an anti-automorphism

$$\iota : H_q \longrightarrow H_q, \quad T_w \longmapsto T_{w^{-1}}.$$

For $y, w \in W$, let $P_{y,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ be the associated Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial as defined in [21]. Then, $P_{w,w} = 1$, $P_{y,w} = 0$ unless $y \leq w$, and $P_{y,w}$ for $y < w$ has degree $\leq \frac{1}{2}(\ell(w) - \ell(y) - 1)$. Following [21] (cf. [9, p.189]), let

$$C_w^+ = \sum_{y \leq w} P_{y,w} T_y. \tag{2.0.2}$$

Then $\{C_w^+\}_{w \in W}$ is a new basis for H_q and the following multiplication formula holds:

$$C_s^+ C_w^+ = \begin{cases} (q+1) C_w^+, & \text{if } sw < w, \\ C_{sw}^+ + q \sum_{y < w, sy < y} \mu(y, w) q^{\frac{1}{2}(\ell(w) - \ell(y) - 1)} C_y^+, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.0.3}$$

where $\mu(y,w)q^{\frac{1}{2}(\ell(w)-\ell(y)-1)}$ is the leading term of $P_{y,w}$ if $\mu(y,w)\neq 0$.

For each $w\in W$, fix a reduced expression $\underline{w}=(s_1,s_2\dots,s_l)$ for w (thus, $w=s_1s_2\cdots s_l$ and $\ell(w)=l$). Let

$$C^+_{\underline{w}}=C^+_{s_1}C^+_{s_2}\cdots C^+_{s_l}. \tag{2.0.4}$$

Then (2.0.3) implies that there exist $a_{\underline{y},\underline{w}}\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that

$$C^+_w=C^+_{\underline{w}}+q\sum_{\underline{y}<\underline{w}}a_{\underline{y},\underline{w}}C^+_{\underline{y}}, \tag{2.0.5}$$

where $\underline{y}<\underline{w}$ denotes a subsequence of $\underline{w}$ obtained by removing some terms in the sequence.

For each $I\in\Lambda$, write

$$x_I:=C^+_{w_I}=\sum_{w\in W_I}T_w. \tag{2.0.6}$$

Definition 2.1. The $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra $E_q=E_q(W)=\mathrm{End}_{H_q}(\bigoplus_{I\in\Lambda}x_IH_q)$ is called the *Hecke endomorphism algebra* associated to the Coxeter group W. Specialising q to 0, the resulting $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $E_0=E_q\otimes\mathbb{Z}$ is called the *0-Hecke endomorphism algebra* associated with W.

Let

$$x_s=C^+_s=T_s+1.$$

The elements $x_s, s\in S$, generate H_q. We now describe a presentation for H_q with these *Kazhdan–Lusztig generators*.

For $\{s,t\}\in\Lambda$ and $m\le m_{s,t}$, let

$$T_{[m]t}=\underbrace{\cdots T_tT_sT_t}_{m}\text{ and }T_{t[m]}=\underbrace{T_tT_sT_t\cdots}_{m},$$

and let

$$x^{(m)}_{(s,t)}=\begin{cases}1+T_t, & \text{if } m=1;\\ 1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}(T_{[i]s}+T_{[i]t})+T_{[m]t}, & \text{if } m\ge 2.\end{cases}$$

Since the generating relations for any Hecke-algebra are determined by the generating relations of its rank 2 subalgebras, we have the following.

Lemma 2.2. *The Hecke algebra H_q can be presented by generators $x_s, s\in S$ with*

(a) Quasi-idempotent relations: $(x_s)^2=(q+1)x_s$ *and*
(b) Braid relations: $x^{(m_{s,t})}_{(s,t)}=x^{(m_{s,t})}_{(t,s)}$ *for all $s,t\in S$.*

We now write the elements $x^{(m)}_{(s,t)}$ ($m\le m_{s,t}$) as polynomials in x_r. Assume first that $\{s,t\}=\{1,2\}$ generates an *infinite* dihedral subgroup in the next two lemmas. Thus, the condition $m\le m_{s,t}$ can be dropped.

Lemma 2.3. *We have the following recursive formulas for* $x^{(m)}_{(2,1)}$.

$$x^{(m)}_{(2,1)} = \begin{cases} x_2x_1, & \text{if } m=2; \\ x_1x_2x_1 - qx_1, & \text{if } m=3; \\ (x_2x_1)^2 - 2qx_2x_1, & \text{if } m=4; \\ x^{(m-2)}_{(2,1)}(x_2x_1 - 2q) - q^2x^{(m-4)}_{(2,1)}, & \text{if } m \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$S_{[m]1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} T_{[i]1} \text{ and } S_{[m]2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} T_{[i]2}.$$

Then

$$x^{(m)}_{(2,1)} = 1 + S_{[m-1]2} + S_{[m]1}.$$

Direct computation gives $x^{(2)}_{(2,1)}$, $x^{(3)}_{(2,1)}$, $x^{(4)}_{(2,1)}$ and, for $m \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(m)}_{(2,1)}x_2x_1 &= x^{(m)}_{(2,1)}(T_2T_1 + T_2 + T_1 + 1) \\ &= x^{(m+2)}_{(2,1)} + 2qx^{(m)}_{(2,1)} + q^2x^{(m-2)}_{(2,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the required formula follows. □

By swapping the indices 1 and 2, we obtain an analogous version of Lemma 2.3 for $x^{(m)}_{(1,2)}$. Consequently, $x^{(m)}_{(2,1)}$ and $x^{(m)}_{(1,2)}$ are polynomials in x_1 and x_2 without the constant term. Next, based on Lemma 2.3, we will deduce an explicit formula for $x^{(m)}_{(2,1)}$ as a linear combination of monomials in x_1 and x_2,

$$x^{(m)}_{(2,1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b^m_j x_{[j]1}, \tag{2.3.1}$$

where $b^m_s \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and $x_{[m]1} = \underbrace{\ldots x_2x_1}_{m}$. Similarly, $x_{[m]2} = \underbrace{\ldots x_1x_2}_{m}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The coefficient* b^m_j *is equal to* $\binom{j+s-1}{j-1}(-q)^s$ *if* $s = \frac{m-j}{2}$ *is an integer, and* 0 *otherwise. Consequently,*

$$x^{(m)}_{(2,1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{m-i-1}{i}(-q)^i x_{[m-2i]1}.$$

Proof. Use induction on m. Observe from Lemma 2.3 that $x^{(m)}_{(2,1)}$ has degree m and is a linear combination of monomials with degrees of the same parity as m. So

$$b^m_j = 0 \text{ if } \frac{m-j}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

Further, by Lemma 2.3 and (2.3.1), $b^m_m = 1$, $b^m_{m-2} = -(m-2)q$ and $b^m_1 = (-q)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ if m is odd (resp., $b^m_2 = \frac{m}{2}(-q)^{\frac{m-2}{2}}$ if m is even). In general, for $3 \leq j \leq m-4$, let

$s = \frac{m-j}{2}$, where j and m have the same parity. By Lemma 2.3 and induction,

$$\begin{aligned} b_j^m &= b_{j-2}^{m-2} - 2qb_j^{m-2} - q^2 b_j^{m-4} \\ &= (-q)^s \binom{j+s-3}{j-3} - 2q(-q)^{s-1}\binom{j+s-2}{j-1} - q^2(-q)^{s-2}\binom{j+s-3}{j-1} \\ &= (-q)^s \binom{j+s-1}{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Remark 2.5. The coefficients b_j^m also satisfies the recursive formula

$$b_j^m = b_{j-1}^{m-1} - qb_j^{m-2}.$$

This formula evaluated at $q = 1$ gives Elias' recursive formula in [14, Def. 2.10]

$$d_m^j = d_{m-1}^{j-1} - d_{m-2}^j,$$

where $d_m^j = b_j^m|_{q=1}$. So we can view b_j^m as a q-analogue of d_m^j.

By definition, for $m < m_{s,t} < \infty$, the elements $x_{(s,t)}^{(m)}$ and $x_{(t,s)}^{(m)}$ are distinct. However, if $m = m_{s,t}$, then $x_{(s,t)}^{(m_{s,t})} = x_{(t,s)}^{(m_{s,t})} = x_{\{s,t\}}$ are two distinct expressions in the Kazhdan–Lusztig generators.

In general, we define

$$I \sqsubset J \iff I \subset J, |I| = |J| - 1. \tag{2.5.1}$$

Then for each $I \in \Lambda$, every total ordering on I:

$$I_\bullet : \emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset I_2 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I, \tag{2.5.2}$$

gives an expression for x_I as follows. Fix a reduced expression $w_{I_\bullet} = s_l s_{l-1} \cdots s_1$ such that $w_{I_i} = s_{j_i} s_{j_i - 1} \cdots s_1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and let $\underline{w}_{I_\bullet} = (s_l, s_{l-1}, \cdots, s_1)$. Let

$$x_{\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} = x_{s_l} x_{s_{l-1}} \cdots x_{s_1}.$$

By (2.0.5), we have the following.

Lemma 2.6. *For any $I \in \Lambda$, any ordering $I_\bullet$ as in* (2.5.2)*, and any reduced expression $\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}$, there exist polynomials $a_{\underline{y},\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that*

$$x_I = x_{\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} + q \sum_{\underline{y} < \underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} a_{\underline{y},\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} x_{\underline{y}}.$$

3. Two preliminary lemmas

In this section, R can be any commutative ring with 1. We prove two technical lemmas. Recall that a quiver Q is an oriented graph, consisting of a set Q_0 of vertices and a set Q_1 of arrows connecting the vertices. The path algebra RQ of Q is the free R-module with basis the set of (oriented) paths in Q and multiplication given by concatenation of paths, i.e. for any two paths α and β, $\alpha \cdot \beta = \alpha\beta$ if the starting vertex of α is the ending vertex of β and 0 otherwise. For any vertex i, we denote by

e_i the trivial path at i. A relation in RQ is an R-linear combination of paths with a fixed starting vertex and a fixed ending vertex. A set of relations $\mathcal{I}$ defines a quotient algebra $RQ/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle$, where $\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle$ is the ideal in RQ generated by $\mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(Q,\mathcal{I})$ be a quiver Q with relations $\mathcal{I}$ and let $(Q',\mathcal{I}')$ be the quiver obtained from Q by adding a loop α at a vertex to Q_1 and a relation $\alpha - p$ to $\mathcal{I}$, where $p \in RQ$ is a cycle through the vertex. Then the inclusion of Q into Q' induces an R-algebra isomorphism*

$$RQ/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle \to RQ'/\langle\mathcal{I}'\rangle.$$

Proof. Since $RQ \cap \langle\mathcal{I}'\rangle = \langle\mathcal{I}\rangle$, the homomorphism $RQ \subset RQ' \to RQ'/\langle\mathcal{I}'\rangle$ induces a monomorphism $\phi : RQ/\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle \to RQ'/\langle\mathcal{I}'\rangle$. Now every coset in $RQ'/\langle\mathcal{I}'\rangle$ has a representative in RQ. Hence, this monomorphism is an isomorphism (and ϕ^{-1} is the map sending x to x and α to p, where x is an arbitrary path in RQ). □

Let A be an associative R-algebra defined by generators $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and a set $\mathcal{I}$ of relations. Let $p_0 = 1, p_1, \ldots, p_s$ be idempotents in A. Note that each p_i $(i \neq 0)$ or $p \in \mathcal{I}$ is a (noncommutative) polynomial $p_i(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ or $p(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ in $a_1,\ldots,a_n$. Let

$$B = \mathrm{End}_A\Big(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s} p_iA\Big) = \bigoplus_{0\le i,j\le s} \mathrm{Hom}_A(p_iA, p_jA).$$

Denote by 1_i the identity map on p_iA, $u_i : p_iA \to A$ the natural embedding and by $d_i : A \to p_iA$ the natural surjection, given by left multiplication with p_i. We also view a_i as an element in B, which defines the map $A \to A$ by left multiplication with a_i. All 1_i, u_i, d_i and a_i are regarded as elements of B by sending other components p_jA to 0.

Let Q' be the quiver with vertices $0, 1, \ldots, s$, loops α_i at vertex 0 for $1 \le i \le n$, arrows υ_i from vertex i to 0 and δ_i from 0 to i for $1 \le i \le s$. Let $\mathcal{J}' \subseteq RQ'$ be the set of elements obtained from

(1) $p' := p(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{I}$;
(2) $\delta_i\upsilon_i = e_i$ for all $1 \le i \le s$;
(3) $\upsilon_i\delta_i = p_i' := p_i(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s)$ for all $1 \le i \le s$.

Let $R\langle\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s\rangle$ be the (centraliser) subalgebra generated by $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s$ with the identity e_0 and let

$$C = RQ'/\langle\mathcal{J}'\rangle.$$

Since $R\langle e_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s\rangle \cap \langle\mathcal{J}'\rangle$ is the ideal generated by p' for $p \in \mathcal{I}$, it follows that the subalgebra C_0 of C generated by all $\alpha_i + \langle\mathcal{J}'\rangle$ is isomorphic to A. Thus, as an image of p_i, each p_i' is an idempotent in C.

Lemma 3.2. *The algebra B with the identity $1 = \sum_i 1_i$ is generated by a_i, u_j and d_j subject to the relations*

(1) *$p(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{I}$;*

(2) $d_iu_i = 1_i$ *and* $u_id_i = p_i$ *for all* $1 \le i \le s$;
(3) $u_i1_i = u_i = 1_0u_i$ *and* $d_i1_0 = d_i = 1_id_i$ *for all* $1 \le i \le s$;
(4) $1_i1_j = 0$ *for* $i \neq j$ *and* $1_i^2 = 1_i$ *for all* i.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_A(p_iA, p_jA) \cong p_jAp_i$, the algebra B is generated by a_i, u_j and d_j. We show that there is an isomorphism from C to B, sending α_i, υ_j, δ_j to a_i, u_j, d_j, respectively, and thus conclude that the relations are the generating relations of B.

First note that the identity 1_B in B is

$$1_B = 1_0 + 1_1 + \cdots + 1_s$$

and

$$1_0B1_0 \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(A, A) \cong A.$$

Define

$$\phi : C \to B$$

with $\alpha_i \mapsto a_i$, $e_i \mapsto 1_i$, $\upsilon_i \mapsto u_i$ and $\delta_i \mapsto d_i$. By definition, a_j, u_i and d_i satisfy the relations $\mathcal{J}'$. So ϕ is a well-defined surjective homomorphism. In particular, it induces a surjective map $\phi_{ij} : e_iCe_j \to p_iAp_j (\cong 1_iB1_j)$. We will show that all the ϕ_{ij} are linear isomorphisms and thus conclude that ϕ is an isomorphism. First, by comparing the generating relations and noting $C_0 = e_0Ce_0$, we have that the restriction of ϕ to e_0Ce_0

$$\phi|_{e_0Ce_0} : e_0Ce_0 \to A$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, it induces an isomorphism from $p_i'Cp_j'$ to p_iAp_j.

Using the relations between $\upsilon_i, \delta_i, p_i$ and e_i, we have

$$e_iCe_j = \delta_i\upsilon_iC\delta_j\upsilon_j \subseteq \delta_iC\upsilon_j = e_i\delta_iC\upsilon_je_j \subseteq e_iCe_j.$$

Thus

$$e_iCe_j = \delta_iC\upsilon_j.$$

Similarly,

$$p_i'Cp_j' \cong \delta_ip_i'Cp_j'\upsilon_j = \delta_iC\upsilon_j = e_iCe_j,$$

where the (linear) isomorphism, denoted by ψ, is given by left multiplication with δ_i and right multiplication with υ_j. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_i'Cp_j' & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & p_iAp_j \\ {\scriptstyle\psi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{=} \\ e_iCe_j & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & p_iAp_j, \end{array}$$

where ϵ is an isomorphism induced by the isomorphism $\phi|_{e_0Ce_0}$. Thus ϕ_{ij} is a linear isomorphism, as required. □

Remark 3.3. The corresponding relations of Lemma 3.2 (3) and (4) in C are implicit in the definition of the multiplication in the path algebra.

4. A quiver approach to Hecke endomorphism algebras

In the rest of the paper, let

$$R = \left\{ \frac{f}{1+qg} \;\middle|\; f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \right\} = \mathbb{Z}[q]_P, \tag{4.0.1}$$

be the ring obtained by localising $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ at the multiplicative set P of all polynomials with the constant term 1.

We now apply the technical lemmas to give presentations of the Hecke endomorphism algebras over R. Then applying the functors $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbb{Q}(q)$ and $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathbb{Z}$ gives the presentations of the algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively.

By extending the ground ring to R, we write

$$RH_q = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} H_q.$$

The elements in RH_q are R-linear combinations $\sum_{w\in W} r_w T_w$, where $r_w \in R$. Recall that the Poincaré polynomial associated to W_I is

$$\pi(I) = \sum_{w \in W_I} q^{\ell(w)}.$$

Note that the elements x_I defined in (2.6) satisfies $x_I^2 = \pi(I)x_I$. Since $\frac{1}{\pi(I)} \in R$, the elements

$$p_I = \frac{x_I}{\pi(I)}$$

are idempotents in RH_q and $p_I RH_q = x_I RH_q$.

In the sequel, the notation $p_I|_{\{t_s\}_{s\in S}}$ denotes the element in an R-algebra A obtained by replacing T_s in p_I with $t_s \in A$ for all $s \in S$.

We now apply Lemma 3.2 to the Hecke algebra $A = RH_q$, the generators $T_s,\ s \in S$, the set $\mathcal{I}$ of Hecke relations (cf. [18]), and the idempotents $p_I,\ I \in \Lambda$, with $p_0 = p_\emptyset = 1$, and to give the first presentation of the Hecke endomorphism algebras $B = RE_q$ over R, where

$$RE_q = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} E_q.$$

Let $(Q', \mathcal{J}')$ be the quiver associated with $RH_q(W)$ as constructed in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Thus, the vertices are e_I with $I \in \Lambda$, arrows are α_s, υ_I, δ_I with $s \in S$ and $I \in \Lambda^*$, and $\mathcal{J}'$ consists of

(J′1) Hecke relations on $\alpha_s, s \in S$;
(J′2) $\delta_I \upsilon_I = e_I$ for all $I \in \Lambda^*$;
(J′3) $\upsilon_I \delta_I = p'_I := p_I|_{\{\alpha_s\}_{s\in S}}$ for all $I \in \Lambda^*$.

In the case $W = S_4$, the symmetric group on 4 letters, and $S = \{s_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ with $s_i = (i, i+1)$. The quiver Q', where s_i is identified with i, has the form



Note that, in RH_q, $x_{\{s\}} = x_s = 1 + T_s$ and $x_I x_J = \pi(I)x_J$ for $I \subseteq J$.

Lemma 4.1. *We have the following relations in $C = RQ'/\langle \mathcal{J}' \rangle$.*

(1) $\alpha_{\{s\}} = (1+q)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} - e_\emptyset$ *for all* $s \in S$.
(2) *For any* I *and* J *in* Λ^* *with* $I \subset J$, $p'_I = \upsilon_I\delta_I$ *and* $p'_I p'_J = p'_J = p'_J p'_I$.

Proof. (1) follows from (J′3) by taking $I = \{s\}$. (2) is true, by the defintion of p'_I, p'_j, the facts that $x_I x_J = \pi(I)x_J = x_J x_I$ and α_s $(s \in S)$ satisfy the Hecke relations. □

We can now present the Hecke endomorphism algebra RE_q via the quiver Q'.

Proposition 4.2. *The Hecke endomorphism algebra $RE_q(W)$ associated with a Coxeter system (W, S) is isomorphic to the algebra $RQ'/\langle \mathcal{J}' \rangle$. More precisely, $RE_q(W)$ is generated by*

$$T_s, 1_I, u_J, d_J \quad (s \in S,\ I \in \Lambda,\ J \in \Lambda^*),$$

subject to the relations

(1) *Hecke relations on T_s, $s \in S$;*
(2) $d_I u_I = 1_I$ *and* $u_I d_I = p_I$, $I \in \Lambda^*$;
(3) $u_I 1_I = u_I = 1_\emptyset u_I$ *and* $d_I 1_\emptyset = d_I = 1_I d_I$, $I \in \Lambda^*$;
(4) $1_I 1_J = 0$ *for* $I \neq J$ *in* Λ *and* $1_I^2 = 1_I$ *for all* $I \in \Lambda$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 with the isomorphism

$$\phi : RQ'/\mathcal{J}' \to RE_q(W)$$

given by $\alpha_s \mapsto T_s$, $e_I \mapsto 1_I$, $\upsilon_I \mapsto u_I$ and $\delta_I \mapsto d_I$. □

Let Q be the quiver obtained from Q' by removing the loops $\alpha_s, s \in S$, and let

$$\tau_s = (1+q)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} - e_\emptyset \text{ for all } s \in S. \tag{4.2.1}$$

Then $\tau_s \in RQ$ for all $s \in S$. Define

$$\mathcal{J} = \{\text{Braid relations on } \tau_s, s \in S\} \cup \{\upsilon_I\delta_I = p_I|_{\{\tau_s\}_{s\in S}}, \delta_I\upsilon_I = e_I \mid I \in \Lambda^*\}. \tag{4.2.2}$$

Proposition 4.3. *The inclusion of Q into Q' induces an isomorphism of R-algebras*

$$RQ/\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle \to RQ'/\langle \mathcal{J}' \rangle.$$

In particular, the Hecke endomorphism algebra $RE_q(W)$ is isomorphic to the path algebra RQ modulo the relations in $\mathcal{J}$.

Proof. We show that τ_s satisfies the quadratic Hecke relations.

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_s^2 &= (1+q)^2(\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}})^2 - 2(1+q)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} + e_\emptyset \\ &= (1+2q+q^2)\upsilon_{\{s\}}e_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} - 2(1+q)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} + e_\emptyset \\ &= (q^2-1)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} + e_\emptyset \\ &= q(q+1)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} - (q+1)\upsilon_{\{s\}}\delta_{\{s\}} + e_\emptyset \\ &= (q-1)\tau_s + qe_\emptyset,\end{aligned}$$

Now the proposition follows from Lemma 3.1. □

We now use the presentation above for RE_q to derive a presentation in terms of modified Williamson's generators.

For any $I \subset J$ in Λ, define

$$\begin{cases}\upsilon_{\emptyset,J} = \upsilon_J, \quad \delta_{J,\emptyset} = \delta_J, & \text{if } I = \emptyset; \\ \upsilon_{I,J} = \delta_I\upsilon_J, \quad \delta_{J,I} = \delta_J\upsilon_I, & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset.\end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $I \subset J \subset K$ in Λ. Then we have the following in $RQ/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$.*

(1) $\upsilon_{I,K} = \upsilon_{I,J}\upsilon_{J,K}$ *and* $\delta_{K,I} = \delta_{K,J}\delta_{J,I}$. *In particular,*

$$\upsilon_K = \upsilon_J\upsilon_{J,K} \text{ and } \delta_K = \delta_{K,J}\delta_J.$$

(2) $\delta_{J,I}\upsilon_{I,J} = e_J$ *and thus $\delta_{J,I}\upsilon_{I,J}$ is an idempotent.*

(3) $\upsilon_{I,J}\delta_{J,I} = \delta_I p_J \upsilon_I = p_J e_I$, *where $p_J = p_J|_{\{\tau_s\}_{s\in S}}$.*

Proof. (1) By defintion, $\upsilon_{I,J}\upsilon_{J,K} = \delta_I\upsilon_J\delta_J\upsilon_K$. Following the relations $\delta_I\upsilon_I = e_I$ and $\upsilon_I\delta_I = p_I$ for any $I \in \Lambda^*$ in (4.2.2) and Lemma 4.1,

$$\upsilon_{I,J}\upsilon_{J,K} = \delta_I\upsilon_I(\delta_I\upsilon_J\delta_J\upsilon_K)\delta_K\upsilon_K = \delta_I p_I p_J p_K \upsilon_K = \delta_I p_K \upsilon_K = \delta_I\upsilon_K\delta_K\upsilon_K = \delta_I\upsilon_K,$$

which is $\upsilon_{I,K}$. So the first identity follows. Similarly, the second one holds.

(2) Also,

$$\delta_{J,I}\upsilon_{I,J} = e_J\delta_{J,I}\upsilon_{I,J}e_J = \delta_J\upsilon_J(\delta_J\upsilon_I\delta_I\upsilon_J)\delta_J\upsilon_J = \delta_J p_J p_I p_J \upsilon_J = \delta_J p_J\upsilon_J = \delta_J\upsilon_J\delta_J\upsilon_J,$$

which is $e_J^2 = e_J$, as required.

(3) It follows from (1) and Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.4 gives the following sandwich relations.

Corollary 4.5. *If $I \subset J, J' \subset K$, then we have*

$$\upsilon_{I,J}\upsilon_{J,K} = \upsilon_{I,J'}\upsilon_{J',K}, \quad \delta_{K,J}\delta_{J,I} = \delta_{K,J'}\delta_{J',I}.$$

We now have the following quiver presentation for the Hecke endomorphism algebra $RE_q(W) \cong RQ/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$. Recall the relation $I \sqsubset J$ defined in (2.5.1).

Corollary 4.6. *The algebra $RQ/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$ is generated by e_K, $\upsilon_{I,J}$ and $\delta_{J,I}$ for $I, J, K \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J$, and the ideal $\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$ is generated by the following relations.*

(J1) *Braid relations on $\{\tau_s \mid s \in S\}$;*

(J2) $\delta_{J,I}\upsilon_{I,J} = e_J$ *for all* $I, J \in \Lambda$ *with* $I \sqsubset J$;

(J3) $\upsilon_{\emptyset,I_1} \dots \upsilon_{I_{m-1},I}\delta_{I,I_{m-1}} \dots \delta_{I_2,I_1}\delta_{I_1,\emptyset} = p_I|_{\{\tau_s\}_{s\in S}}$ *for all* $I \in \Lambda^*$, *and sequences*

$$\emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset I_2 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I.$$

(J4) $\upsilon_{I,J}\upsilon_{J,K} = \upsilon_{I,J'}\upsilon_{J',K}$ *and* $\delta_{K,J}\delta_{J,I} = \delta_{K,J'}\delta_{J',I}$ *for all* $I, J, J', K \in \Lambda$ *with* $I \sqsubset J \sqsubset K$ *and* $I \sqsubset J' \sqsubset K$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.4 and the definition of $\mathcal{J}$ in (4.2.2). □

Note that (J3) and (J4) imply that $\upsilon_{\emptyset,I_1} \dots \upsilon_{I_{m-1},I}\delta_{I,I'_{m-1}} \dots \delta_{I'_2,I'_1}\delta_{I'_1,\emptyset} = p_I|_{\{\tau_s\}_{s\in S}}$ for all $I \in \Lambda$ and sequences

$$\emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset I_2 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I \text{ and } \emptyset = I'_0 \sqsubset I'_1 \sqsubset I'_2 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I'_m = I.$$

5. The Hasse quiver presentation

Following Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.6, we now give a new presentation for $RE_q(W)$ in term of the Hasse quiver of the Coxeter system (W, S).

Recall the set Λ of finitary subsets of S. With the inclusion relation, Λ is a poset. If we replace every edge in the Hasse diagram of the poset Λ by a pair of arrows in opposite direction, the resulting quiver $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}(W)$ is called the *Hasse quiver* associated to W.

Note that the new quiver $\tilde{Q}$ can be obtained from Q by removing all arrows υ_I, δ_I for $|I| > 1$, renaming the arrows υ_I, δ_I for $|I| = 1$ to $\tilde{\upsilon}_{\emptyset,I}$, $\tilde{\delta}_{I,\emptyset}$, and introducing new arrows $\tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J}$ from J to I and $\tilde{\delta}_{J,I}$ from I to J for all $I, J \in \Lambda^*$ with $I \sqsubset J$. That is, the quiver has vertex set Λ and arrow set

$$\{\tilde{\delta}_{J,I}, \tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J} \mid I, J \in \Lambda, I \sqsubset J\}.$$

For example, for $W = \mathfrak{S}_4$, the symmetric group on 4 letters, the quiver $\tilde{Q}$ is as follows, where the arrows between $\emptyset$ and $\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}$ are the same as those in Q.

$\tilde{Q}$: (5.0.1)



The path algebra $R\tilde{Q}$ of $\tilde{Q}$ over a ring R admits an anti-involution

$$\tau : R\tilde{Q} \longrightarrow R\tilde{Q} \tag{5.0.2}$$

that interchanges $\tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{I,J}$.

Let $\tilde{e}_K$ denote the trivial path in $\tilde{Q}$ at the vertex $K \in \Lambda$. For each singleton $\{s\} \in \Lambda$, let

$$\tilde{\chi}_s = (q+1)\tilde{\upsilon}_{\emptyset,\{s\}}\tilde{\delta}_{\{s\},\emptyset} \in R\tilde{Q}.$$

For each $I \in \Lambda$, a total ordering $I_\bullet$ on I as in (2.5.2), and a reduced expression $\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}$, let

$$\tilde{\chi}_{I_\bullet} = \tilde{\chi}_{\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} + q \sum_{\underline{y} < \underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} a_{\underline{y},\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} \tilde{\chi}_{\underline{y}} \text{ and } \tilde{\rho}_{I_\bullet} = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_{I_\bullet}}{\pi(I)}, \tag{5.0.3}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_{\underline{w}} = \tilde{\chi}_{s_l}\tilde{\chi}_{s_{l-1}}\cdots\tilde{\chi}_{s_1}$ if $\underline{w} = (s_j, s_{l-1}, \cdots, s_1)$ and $a_{\underline{y},\underline{w}_{I_\bullet}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ are given in Lemma 2.6.

Theorem 5.1. *Maintain the notations Λ and $\tilde{\rho}_{I_\bullet}$, etc., for W as above. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ be the subset of $R\tilde{Q}$ defined by the following relations*

($\tilde{\text{J}}$1) Idempotent relations*:*

$$\tilde{\delta}_{J,I}\tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J} = \tilde{e}_J$$

for all $I, J \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J$;

($\tilde{\text{J}}$2) Sandwich relations*:*

$$\tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J}\tilde{\upsilon}_{J,K} = \tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J'}\tilde{\upsilon}_{J',K} \text{ and } \tilde{\delta}_{K,J}\tilde{\delta}_{J,I} = \tilde{\delta}_{K,J'}\tilde{\delta}_{J',I}$$

for all $I, J, J', K \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J \sqsubset K$ and $I \sqsubset J' \sqsubset K$.

($\tilde{\text{J}}$3) Extended braid relations*:*

$$\tilde{\upsilon}_{\emptyset,I_1}\ldots\tilde{\upsilon}_{I_{m-1},I}\tilde{\delta}_{I,I_{m-1}}\ldots\tilde{\delta}_{I_2,I_1}\tilde{\delta}_{I_1,\emptyset} = \tilde{\rho}_{I_\bullet}$$

for all $I \in \Lambda^*$, $|I| > 1$, and given ordering $I_\bullet : \emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I$.

Then $RE_q(W) \cong RQ/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle \cong R\tilde{Q}/\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle$.

Proof. By ($\tilde{\text{J}}$3), for any $s \in S$ we have $\tilde{\chi}_{\{s\}} = (q+1)\tilde{\upsilon}_{\emptyset,\{s\}}\tilde{\delta}_{s,\emptyset}$. Thus, by ($\tilde{\text{J}}$1), we have $\tilde{\chi}^2_{\{s\}} = (q+1)\tilde{\chi}_{\{s\}}$. For any $s,t \in S$, ($\tilde{\text{J}}$2) and($\tilde{\text{J}}$3) imply $\tilde{\rho}_{(s,t)} = \tilde{\rho}_{(t,s)}$. Hence, $\tilde{\chi}^{m_{s,t}}_{(s,t)} = \tilde{\chi}^{m_{s,t}}_{(t,s)}$. By Lemma 2.2, the subalgebra generated by $\tilde{\chi}_s$ is isomorphic to $RH_q(W)$. Note that this subalgebra is isomorphic to $\tilde{e}_\emptyset(R\tilde{Q}/\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle)\tilde{e}_\emptyset$ by ($\tilde{\text{J}}$3). Thus, $\tilde{\rho}_{I_\bullet} = \tilde{\rho}_I$ which is independent of the ordering on I. Further, if we put $\tilde{\tau}_s = \tilde{\chi}_s - 1$ for all $s \in S$, then $\tilde{\rho}_{I_\bullet} = p_I|_{\{\tilde{\tau}_s\}_{s\in S}}$.

Consider the R-algebra homomorphism $\phi : R\tilde{Q} \to RQ$ sending $\tilde{\upsilon}_{I,J}, \tilde{\delta}_{J,I}, \tilde{e}_K$ to $\upsilon_{I,J}, \delta_{J,I}, e_K$, respectively. This map induces a surjective algebra homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : R\tilde{Q} \to RQ/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$. By Corollary 4.6, it is clear that $\phi^{-1}(\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle) = \langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle$ and, hence, ϕ induces the required isomorphism. □

The presentation in Theorem 5.1 is called the *Hasse quiver presentation* of $E_q(W)$.

We now give a direct description of the isomorphism from $R\tilde{Q}/\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle$ to $RE_q(W)$ and turn the Hasse quiver presentations to a full presentation for $RE_q(W)$.

Note that, if $I \subseteq J$, then $x_J = hx_I = x_I\iota(h)$, where $h = h_{J,I} = \sum_{w^{-1}\in D_I\cap W_J} T_w$ and ι is the anti-automorphism on RH_q introduced in Seciton 2. So x_JH_q is a submodule of x_IH_q and

$$p_J = \left(\frac{\pi(I)}{\pi(J)}h_{J,I}\right)p_I.$$

Denote by

$$u_{I,J} : p_J(RH_q) \to p_I(RH_q)$$

the natural inclusion and by

$$d_{J,I} : p_I(RH_q) \to p_J(RH_q)$$

the splitting map of the inclusion given by left multiplication with $\frac{\pi(I)}{\pi(J)}h_{J,I}$. Define the map

$$\hbar : R\tilde{Q}/\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle \to RE_q(W),$$

sending $\tilde{v}_{I,J}, \tilde{\delta}_{J,I}, \tilde{e}_K$ to $u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}$ and 1_K, respectively, where $I, J, K \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqcap J$.

Corollary 5.2. *The map $\hbar$ induces an algebra isomorphism $R\tilde{Q}/\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle \cong RE_q(W)$. In other words, $RE_q(W)$ is the R-algebra generated by $u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}$ and 1_K subject to the relations ($\tilde{\text{J}}$1)–($\tilde{\text{J}}$3) obtained by replacing $\tilde{v}_{I,J}, \tilde{\delta}_{J,I}, \tilde{e}_K$ with $u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}$ and 1_K, respectively, and the* quiver relations

($\tilde{\text{J}}$4) $u_{I,J}1_J = u_{I,J} = 1_I u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}1_I = d_{J,I} = 1_J d_{J,I}$ *and* $1_I^2 = 1_I$, $1_I 1_J = 0$ *for* $I \neq J$.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 and Theorem 5.1. □

Remark 5.3. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ and let $\mathcal{Z}E_q$ be the Hecke endomorphism algebra obtained by base change to $\mathcal{Z}$. The generators in Theorem 5.2 are scaled version of a subset of the generators for $\mathcal{Z}E_q$ given in [28] by Williamson. More precisely, $u_{I,J}$, $\frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)}d_{J,I}$ with $I \sqcap J$ form a subset of Williamson's generators. Let

$$d'_{J,I} = \frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)}d_{J,I}.$$

As each $\pi(I)$ is invertible in R, if we replace the relations ($\tilde{\text{J}}$1) and ($\tilde{\text{J}}$3) in the theorem by the following, we obtain a presentation of RE_q in terms of the subset of Williamson's generators.

($\tilde{\text{J}}$1′) $d'_{J,I}u_{I,J} = \frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)}1_J$.

($\tilde{\text{J}}$3′) $u_{\emptyset,I_1} \dots u_{I_{m-1},I} d'_{I,I_{m-1}} \dots d'_{I_2,I_1} d'_{I_1,\emptyset} = x_I|_{\{t_s\}_{s\in S}}$ for any $I \in \Lambda$ and sequence

$$\emptyset = I_0 \sqcap I_1 \sqcap I_2 \sqcap \cdots \sqcap I_m = I.$$

Williamson's other generators are monomials in $d'_{J,I}$ and $u_{I,J}$ with $I \sqcap J$. We will discuss the presentation of E_q over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ in the last two sections.

Remark 5.4. Applying the functor $- \otimes_R \mathbb{Q}(q)$, Theorem 5.2 gives a presentation of the Hecke endomorphism algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{Q}(q)E_q = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_R RE_q = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} E_q.$$

Similarly, applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_R -$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is an R-module via the ring homomorphism $R \to \mathbb{Z}, q \mapsto 0$, Theorem 5.2 gives a presentation of the 0-Hecke endomorphism algebra $E_0 = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} E_q$. We will discuss 0-Hecke endomorphism algebras in the next section and show that the relations can be simplified.

6. 0-Hecke endomorphism algebras

The first application of Theorem 5.2 is to give a presentation of the 0-Hecke endomorphism algebra E_0. Recall the Hecke algebra $H_q = H_q(W)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ and its canonical basis $\{C_w^+\}_{w\in W}$ defined in (2.0.2).

Consider the specialisation $\mathbb{Z}[q] \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \mapsto 0$ and let $H_0 = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} H_q$. We may regard H_0 as a degenerate Hecke algebra. Let

$$\mathrm{c}_w = C_w^+ \otimes 1, \text{ i.e. } \mathrm{c}_w = C_w^+|_{q=0}.$$

Recall that H_0 is a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra generated by $T_1, \dots, T_n$ subject to the relations $T_i^2 = -T_i$ and the braid relations. We call these relations 0-*Hecke relations*. Note that in the case of the longest element w_I,

$$\mathrm{c}_{w_I} = \sum_{w\in W_I} T_w = x_I.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{c}_s = T_s + 1 = x_{\{s\}}$ for all $s \in S$.

Let $w_{I,J}$ be the longest element in the double coset $W_I W_J$. Denote $\mathrm{c}_{w_{I,J}}$ by $\mathrm{c}_{I,J}$. Then $\mathrm{c}_{I,I} = \mathrm{c}_{w_I}$. Let $(W, *)$ be the Hecke monoid defined in [3, §4.5].

Lemma 6.1. (1) *The elements $\mathrm{c}_w, w \in W$, under the multiplication in H_0 form a monoid, which is isomorphic to the Hecke monoid $(W, *)$. In particular, they form a multiplicative basis for H_0.*

(2) *c_I is an idempotent for every finitary $I \in \Lambda^*$.*

(3) *For any finitary subsets $I, J \subseteq S$, $\mathrm{c}_{I,I}\mathrm{c}_{J,J} = \mathrm{c}_{I,J}$.*

Proof. By (2.0.3), we have

$$\mathrm{c}_s\mathrm{c}_w = \begin{cases} \mathrm{c}_w, & \text{if } sw < w; \\ \mathrm{c}_{sw}, & \text{if } sw > w. \end{cases} \tag{6.1.1}$$

Thus, $\{\mathrm{c}_s \mid s \in S\}$ generate a monoid whose associated monoid algebra is H_0. By [3, Prop. 4.34], the map $\mathrm{c} : (W, *) \to H_0, w \mapsto \mathrm{c}_w$ is the required monoid isomorphism, proving (1). Statements (2) and (3) are consequences of (6.1.1). □

We call $\{\mathrm{c}_w \mid w \in W\}$ the *degenerate canonical basis* of H_0. The following is clear from (6.1.1) and Lemma 6.1 (1).

Corollary 6.2. *Let I, J, K be finitary subsets of S and let $x \in D_{I,J}^+$ and $y \in D_{J,K}^+$. Then $\mathrm{c}_x\mathrm{c}_y = \mathrm{c}_{x*y}$. In particular, $x * y \in D_{I,K}^+$.*

Lemma 6.3. *The 0-Hecke algebra H_0 is generated by $\mathrm{c}_s, s \in S$, subject to the relations.*

(1) $\underbrace{\mathrm{c}_s\mathrm{c}_t\dots}_{m_{s,t}} = \underbrace{\mathrm{c}_t\mathrm{c}_s\dots}_{m_{s,t}}$, *where $m_{s,t}$ is the order of st in W.*

(2) $\mathrm{c}_s^2 = \mathrm{c}_s$ *for all $s \in S$.*

Proof. The equivalence between the relations in (1) and the braid relations follows from (6.1.1) and clearly $T_i^2 = -T_i$ implies that $(T_i+1)^2 = (T_i+1)$ and vice versa. So the claim holds. □

We now give an interpretation of the 0-Hecke endomorphism algebra in terms of the degenerate canonical basis $\mathrm{C}_w, w \in W$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(I, \mathrm{C}_d, J) \mid I, J \in \Lambda, d \in D^+_{I,J}\}.$$

Form the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$ with basis $\mathcal{B}$ and define multiplication with

$$(I, \mathrm{C}_x, J) * (K, \mathrm{C}_y, L) = \delta^K_J (I, \mathrm{C}_x \mathrm{C}_y, L),$$

where $\delta^K_J = 1$ if $J = K$ and 0 otherwise. Note that, by Corollary 6.2, the multiplication is well-defined. Note also that $f_I = (I, \mathrm{C}_{I,I}, I)$ is an idempotent and $f_\emptyset \mathcal{B} f_\emptyset$ is isomorphic to the Hecke monoid $(W, *)$.

Theorem 6.4. *With this multiplication, $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$ becomes an associative algebra with the identity $1 = \sum_{I\in\Lambda} f_I$. Moreover, we have $E_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. The isomorphism can be easily seen by using the degenerate canonical basis

$$\{\Theta^d_{I,J} \mid I, J \in \Lambda, d \in D^+_{I,J}\}$$

for E_0, where $\Theta^d_{I,J} \in E_0$ is defined by (cf. [7, Th. 2.3])

$$\Theta^d_{I,J}(\mathrm{C}_{w_K} h) = \delta^K_J \mathrm{C}_d h$$

for all $K \in \Lambda, h \in H_0$. By (6.1.1), it is easy to see that $\Theta^x_{I,J}\Theta^y_{J,K}(\mathrm{C}_{w_K}) = \mathrm{C}_x\mathrm{C}_y = \mathrm{C}_{x*y} = \Theta^{x*y}_{I,K}(\mathrm{C}_{w_K})$. Hence, $\Theta^x_{I,J}\Theta^y_{J,K} = \Theta^{x*y}_{I,K}$ and the required isomorphism is the map $(I, \mathrm{C}_x, J) \mapsto \Theta^x_{I,J}$. □

Following Corollary 5.2 and applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_R -$, we have the following presentation of the 0-Hecke endomorphism algebras E_0.

Theorem 6.5. *The algebra E_0 with $1 = \sum_{I\in\Lambda} 1_I$ is generated by $u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}$ and 1_K, where $I, J, K \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J$ subject to the relations*

1. *$d_{J,I}u_{I,J} = 1_J$ for all $I, J \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J$;*
2. *$u_{I,J}u_{J,K} = u_{I,J'}u_{J',K}$ and $d_{K,J}d_{J,I} = d_{K,J'}d_{J',I}$ for all $I, J, J', K \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J \sqsubset K$ and $I \sqsubset J' \sqsubset K$;*
3. *$u_{\emptyset,I_1} \dots u_{I_{m-1},I} d_{I,I_{m-1}} \dots d_{I_2,I_1} d_{I_1,\emptyset} = p_{\{s_l\}} \cdots p_{\{s_2\}} p_{\{s_1\}}$, for any $I \in \Lambda^*$, and any reduced expression of $w_{I_\bullet} = s_i \cdots s_2 s_1$ associated with the ordering*

$$I_\bullet : \emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset I_2 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I;$$

4. *$u_{I,J}1_J = u_{I,J} = 1_I u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}1_I = d_{J,I} = 1_J d_{J,I}$, $1_I^2 = 1_I$ and $1_I 1_J = 0$ $(I \neq J)$.*

The generators $u_{I,J}$, $d_{J,I}$ and 1_K correspond to elements $(I, \mathrm{C}_{I,J}, J), (J, \mathrm{C}_{J,I}, I)$ and f_K in $\mathcal{B}$. Theorem 6.5 gives a presentation for the algebra $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$ by the generators $(I, \mathrm{C}_{I,J}, J), (J, \mathrm{C}_{J,I}, I)$ for all $I, J \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubseteq J$.

We end this section with an algorithm for writing a basis element in $\mathcal{B}$ as product of these generator. For a W_I-W_J double coset $\mathfrak{p}$, let $\mathfrak{p}^+, \mathfrak{p}^-$ be the longest, shortest elements in $\mathfrak{p}$. For $w \in W$, let

$$\mathcal{R}(w) = \{s \in S \mid ws < w\}.$$

The following algorithm gives a way to write a basis element $(I, \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}^+}, J)$ as a product of generators. This algorithm is a degenerate version of the one given in the proof of [29, Prop. 1.3.4]. Recall the notation used in (2.0.1).

Given a double coset $(I, \mathfrak{p}, J)$ with $I, J \in \Lambda$, let $\tilde{J} = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{p}^+)$, $J_1 = I^{\mathfrak{p}^-} \cap \tilde{J}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 = W_I \mathfrak{p}^- W_{J_1}$. Then

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}^+} = \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}_1^+} \mathrm{C}_{w_{\tilde{J}}} = \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}_1^+} \mathrm{C}_{J_1,\tilde{J}} \mathrm{C}_{\tilde{J},J}.$$

If $J_1 = \tilde{J}$, then $I = \tilde{J}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^- = 1$. So the algorithm stops. Otherwise, continuing the algorithm with $(I, \mathfrak{p}, J) = (I, \mathfrak{p}_1, J_1)$ yields $\tilde{J}_1 \in \Lambda$ and double coset $(I, \mathfrak{p}_2, J_2)$ such that $J_2 \subset \tilde{J}_1 \supset J_1$ and

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}^+} = \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}_2^+} \mathrm{C}_{J_2,\tilde{J}_1} \mathrm{C}_{\tilde{J}_1,J_1} \mathrm{C}_{J_1,\tilde{J}} \mathrm{C}_{\tilde{J},J}.$$

The algorithm stops if $J_2 = \tilde{J}_1$. In this way, we eventually find sequences $J_0 = J, J_1, \ldots, J_m = I$ and $\tilde{J}_0, \tilde{J}_1, \ldots, \tilde{J}_{m-1} = I$ in Λ such that $J_i \subset \tilde{J}_{i-1} \supset J_{i-1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq m-1)$ and

$$\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}^+} = \mathrm{C}_{I,\tilde{J}_{m-1}} \mathrm{C}_{\tilde{J}_{m-1},J_{m-1}} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{J_2,\tilde{J}_1} \mathrm{C}_{\tilde{J}_1,J_1} \mathrm{C}_{J_1,\tilde{J}} \mathrm{C}_{\tilde{J},J}.$$

Thus, we write $(I, \mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{p}^+}, J)$ as a product of generators.

7. The integral case

It seems difficult to find the generating relations for the integral algebra $E_q(W)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. In this section, we first explain how to find torsion relations. We then compute the integral presentation in the rank 2 case (see Theorem 7.3). A more complicated example in the A_3 case is also computed in the last section.

For notational simplicity, we will denote the Hasse quiver $\tilde{Q}$ in Section 5 by $\mathcal{Q}$ and will drop the $\tilde{}$'s on all its arrows and trivial paths.[1] Thus, the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}$ is $\Lambda = \Lambda(W)$ and the arrow set is $\{\delta_{J,I}, \upsilon_{I,J} \mid I, J \in \Lambda, I \sqsubset J\}$ with trivial paths e_K, $K \in \Lambda$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_q = \mathcal{Q}_q(W) := \mathbb{Z}[q]\mathcal{Q}$ be the path algebra of $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. For $s \in S$, let

$$\chi_s = \upsilon_{\emptyset,\{s\}} \delta_{\{s\},\emptyset}.$$

Note that the factor $(q+1)$ in $\tilde{\chi}_s$ is dropped here.

For any $I \in \Lambda$ and given ordering $I_\bullet : \emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I$, use χ_s to define $\chi_{I_\bullet}$ similarly as defining $\tilde{\chi}_{I_\bullet}$ in (5.0.3).

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{Q}_q$ defined by the following relations

($\mathcal{J}1$) Quasi-idempotent relations: $\delta_{J,I} \upsilon_{J,I} = \frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)} e_J$.

[1] The reader should not be confused with the quiver Q discussed in Section 4.

($\mathcal{J}2$) Sandwich relations:

$$\upsilon_{I,J}\upsilon_{J,K} = \upsilon_{I,J'}\upsilon_{J',K} \text{ and } \delta_{K,J}\delta_{J,I} = \delta_{K,J'}\delta_{J',I}$$

for all $I, J, J', K \in \Lambda$ with $I \sqsubset J \sqsubset K$ and $I \sqsubset J' \sqsubset K$.

($\mathcal{J}3$) Extended braid relations:

$$\upsilon_{\emptyset,I_1} \dots \upsilon_{I_{m-1},I}\delta_{I,I_{m-1}} \dots \delta_{I_2,I_1}\delta_{I_1,\emptyset} = \chi_{I_\bullet}$$

for all $I \in \Lambda^*$ and given ordering $I_\bullet$.

If we put $\tilde{\delta}_{J,I} = \frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)}\delta_{J,I}$, then relations ($\mathcal{J}1$) and ($\mathcal{J}3$) give ($\tilde{\text{J}}1$) and ($\tilde{\text{J}}3$). Hence, in $R\mathcal{Q}_q$, $\mathcal{J}$ generates the ideal $\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle$.

As in the proof of Corollary 5.2, we have a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : \mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle \to E_q(W)$$

and thus a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle \longrightarrow E_q(W) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{7.0.1}$$

for some ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{Q}_q$. Applying $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} -$ gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathcal{K}/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \big(\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\big) \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} E_q(W) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{7.0.2}$$

Since $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \big(\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\big) \cong R\tilde{Q}/\langle\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\rangle$, by Corollary 5.2,

$$R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]} \mathcal{K}/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle = 0.$$

Hence, to give a presentation of $E_q(W)$, we need to find sufficient *P-torsion elements*, which are elements in $\mathcal{K}/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$ annihilated by a polynomial in P. Note that P is the multiplicative set of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ with the constant term 1. Below we explain how to find those elements.

For any $I \in \Lambda$ and any given sequence $\emptyset = I_0 \sqsubset I_1 \sqsubset \cdots \sqsubset I_m = I$, let

$$\upsilon_I = \upsilon_{\emptyset,I} = \upsilon_{\emptyset,I_1}\upsilon_{I_1,I_2} \dots \upsilon_{I_{m-1},I},$$
$$\delta_I = \delta_{I,\emptyset} = \delta_{I,I_{m-1}} \dots \delta_{I_2,I_1}\delta_{I_1,\emptyset}.$$

In general, for $I \subset J$ in Λ, let $\delta_{J,I}$ (resp., $\upsilon_{I,J}$) denote the shortest paths from I (resp. J) to J (resp. I).

Proposition 7.1. *Let $p = \sum_i f_i p_i$ be a linear combination of paths from I to J, where each $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. If $\upsilon_{\emptyset,J}p\delta_{I,\emptyset} = 0$ in $\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$, then p is P-torsion. Further, the ideal $\mathcal{K}$ is generated by all such P-torsion elements and $\mathcal{J}$.*

Proof. As $\delta_I\upsilon_I = \pi(I)e_I$ for any $I \in \Lambda$, then in $\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$

$$\pi(J)\pi(I)p = \delta_J\upsilon_J p\delta_I\upsilon_I = 0.$$

So p is P-torsion.

Now suppose that $p = \sum_i f_i p_i$ is P-torsion, we may assume that all the paths p_i start from I and end at J. Then there is a polynomial $f \in R$ such that $fp = 0$ and

$$\upsilon_J f p\delta_I = 0 \in \bar{e}_\emptyset\big(\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\big)\bar{e}_\emptyset.$$

Note that (cf. the proof of Theorem 5.1)

$$\bar{e}_\emptyset\big(\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\big)\bar{e}_\emptyset \cong H_q,$$

which is a free $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-module. Therefore $\upsilon_J p\delta_I = 0$. This says that p is P-torsion as described and so the ideal $\mathcal{K}$ is generated by all such P-torsion elements and $\mathcal{J}$. □

The P-torsion elements in $\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$ give us new relations. We call them *torsion relations*.

Lemma 7.2. *If (W,S) is finite, then any path from I to S (resp. from S to I) is a multiple of $\delta_{I,S}$ (resp. $\upsilon_{S,I}$).*

Proof. The proof follows from the sandwich relations and the quasi-idempotent relations. □

We now look for the torsion relations for dihedral Hecke algebras $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra $E_q(I_n)$. In this case, Elias gave an recursive presentation of the Hecke endomorphism algebras in [14, Prop. 2.20] over $\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$, where $v^2 = q$. We work over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ and can write down explicit generating relations. Our method is different from Elias'.

Let $S = \{1,2\}$. Note that the Hasse quiver $\mathcal{Q}$ has the form:

$\mathcal{Q}$:



Let $\chi_{1[j]}$ and $\chi_{2[j]}$ be monomials in χ_i, defined in a similar way as $x_{1[j]}$ and $x_{2[j]}$. Let $\chi^{(m)}_{(1,2)}$ be the polynomial in the new χ_1 and χ_2, as given in Lemma 2.4, and $\chi^{(m)}_{(2,1)}$ the polynomial obtained from $\chi^{(m)}_{(1,2)}$ by swapping the indices 1 and 2. Thus, (2.3.1) and its counterpart for (2,1) can be combined into the following: for $(s,t) = (2,1)$ or $(1,2)$,

$$\chi^{(m)}_{(s,t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j^m \chi_{[j]t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\frac{m-1}{2}\rfloor} \binom{m-i-1}{i} (-q)^i \chi_{[m-2i]t}.$$

Theorem 7.3. *The $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra $E_q(I_n)$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of the path algebra $\mathcal{Q}_q$ modulo the following relations $\mathcal{J}_n$.*

(1) Quasi-idempotent relations: *for $i = 1,2$,*
   (i) $\delta_{\{i\}}\upsilon_{\{i\}} = (1+q)e_{\{i\}}$;
   (ii) $\delta_{\{1,2\},\{i\}}\upsilon_{\{i\},\{1,2\}} = (1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1})e_{\{1,2\}}$.

(2) Sandwich relations:
   (i) $\upsilon_{\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}} = \upsilon_{\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}$
   (ii) $\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\delta_{\{1\}} = \delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\delta_{\{2\}}$;

(3) Refined braid relations: *for* $(s,t)=(1,2)$ *or* $(2,1)$.
   (i) $\upsilon_{\{t\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{s\}}=\delta_{\{t\}}\big(\sum_{j=2}^{n}b_j^n\chi_{[j-2]t}\big)\upsilon_{\{s\}}$, *where* $\chi_{[0]t}=e_\emptyset$, *if* n *is even;*
   (ii) $\upsilon_{\{s\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{s\}}=\delta_{\{s\}}\big(\sum_{j=3}^{n}b_j^n\chi_{[j-2]t}\big)\upsilon_{\{s\}}+(-q)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}e_{\{s\}}$, *if* n *is odd.*

Remark 7.4. Note that the braid relation $\chi^{(m)}_{(1,2)}=\chi^{(m)}_{(2,1)}$ in Lemma 2.2 can be easily derived by multiplying the refined braid relations with $\upsilon_{\{t\}}$ (or $\upsilon_{\{s\}}$) on the left and $\delta_{\{s\}}$ on the right and applying the sandwich relations.

Lemma 7.5. *The following relations hold in* $\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}_n\rangle$*: for* $(s,t)=(1,2)$ *or* $(2,1)$.
(a) $(q+1)\upsilon_{\{s\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{s\}}=\delta_{\{s\}}(\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_j^n\chi_{[j-1]t})\upsilon_{\{s\}}$, *if* n *is even;*
(b) $(q+1)\upsilon_{\{t\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{s\}}=\delta_{\{t\}}(\sum_{j=1}^{n}b_j^n\chi_{[j-1]t})\upsilon_{\{s\}}$, *if* n *is odd,*
where $\chi_{[0]t}=e_\emptyset$.

Proof. We only prove (a). By the quasi-idempotent relation and the sandwich relation, the left hand side

$$\text{LHS}=(\delta_{\{s\}}\upsilon_{\{s\}})\upsilon_{\{s\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{s\}}=\delta_{\{s\}}\upsilon_{\{t\}}(\upsilon_{\{t\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{s\}}).$$

Now, applying (3)(i) yields (a). □

Proof of Theorem 7.3. Let $\phi:\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}_n\rangle\to E_q(I_n)$ be the algebra homomorphism given by sending, for all $I,J,K\in\Lambda$ with $I\sqsubset J$, e_K to the identity map 1_K on x_KH_q, $\delta_{J,I}$ to the map $d'_{J,I}=\frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)}d_{J,I}:x_IH_q\to x_JH_q$ (see Remark 5.3) and $\upsilon_{I,J}$ to the inclusion map $u_{I,J}:x_JH_q\to x_IH_q$. Then $x_{\{i\}}=u_{\emptyset,\{i\}}d'_{\{i\},\emptyset}:H_q\to H_q$ is given by right multiplication with $x_{\{i\}}$. Direct computation shows that all three sets of relations are satisfied if e_K, $\delta_{J,I}$ and $\upsilon_{I,J}$ are replaced by $1_K,d'_{J,I}$ and $u_{I,J}$. For example, both sides of the refined braid relations become the maps sending $x_{\{s\}}$ to $x_{\{1,2\}}$. So the map ϕ is indeed a well-defined algebra homomorphism. Note that $1_K,u_{I,J}$ and $d'_{J,I}$ for all $K,I,J\in\Lambda$ with $I\subset J$ generate the $\mathbb{Z}[q]$- algebra $E_q(I_n)$ [28, Prop. 2.11]. Further, for any $I\subset J$, $u_{I,J}$ and $d'_{J,I}$ are monomials in $u_{K,L}$ and $d'_{L,K}$ with $K\sqsubset L$. So ϕ is surjective.

We show now that ϕ is injective for *even* n, the odd case can be done similarly. Since $\bar e_\emptyset\big(\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}_n\rangle\big)\bar e_\emptyset\cong H_q$, it suffices by Lemma 7.2 and symmetry, to prove that the following sets $B_{J,I}$ span the space of paths from I to J in $\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}_n\rangle$,

$$B_{\{1\},\emptyset}=\{\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{2[j]}\mid 0\le j\le n-1\};$$
$$B_{\{1\},\{1\}}=\{e_{\{1\}},\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\}\cup\{\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[2j-1]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}\mid 1\le j\le\frac{n}{2}-1\};$$
$$B_{\{2\},\{1\}}=\{\delta_{\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{1\}},\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\}\cup\{\delta_{\{2\}}\chi_{[2j-2]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}\mid 2\le j\le\frac{n}{2}-1\}.$$

Note that the cardinality of $B_{J,I}$ is the same as the cardinality of the double coset $W_J\backslash I_n/W_I$, i.e. the rank of the homomorphism space from x_IH_q to x_JH_q, as a free $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-module. So we have the injectivity.

We now prove the claim for the set $B_{\{1\},\{1\}}$, the others can be done similarly. By Lemma 7.2, any path from $\{1\}$ to $\{1\}$ via $\{1,2\}$ is a multiple of $\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}$. So

any path from $\{1\}$ to $\{1\}$ is a multiple of a path $e_{\{1\}}, \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}$ or $\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[j]s}\upsilon_{\{1\}}$, where $j \geq 1$ and $s = 1$ or 2. When $s = 1$, or j is even and $s = 2$, by the quasi-idempotent relations in (1), $\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[j]s}\upsilon_{\{1\}}$ is equal to a multiple of $\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}$ with $l < j$. So we need only to prove that $\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}$, for *odd* numbers $l \geq n-1$, is a linear combination of the paths in $B_{\{1\},\{1\}}$. Indeed, by the relation in Lemma 7.5(a),

$$\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[n-1]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} = (q+1)\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}} - \delta_{\{1\}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_j^n \chi_{[j-1]2}\Big)\upsilon_{\{1\}} \in \ \mathrm{span}(B_{\{1\},\{1\}})$$

and, for odd $l \geq n+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} &= \big(\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[n-1]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}\big)\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l-n]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} \\
&= (q+1)\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l-n]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} - \delta_{\{1\}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_j^n \chi_{[j-1]2}\Big)\upsilon_{\{1\}}\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l-n]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} \\
&= (q+1)\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\delta_{\{2\}}\chi_{\{2\}}\chi_{[l-n-1]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} - \delta_{\{1\}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_j^n \chi_{[l+j-n]2}\Big)\upsilon_{\{1\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, repeatedly applying $\delta_{\{s\}}\chi_{\{s\}} = (q+1)\delta_{\{s\}}$ and the downward sandwich relation on $\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\delta_{\{2\}}\chi_{\{2\}}\chi_{[l-n-1]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}$ leads to

$$\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}} = (q+1)^{l-n+2}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}} - \delta_{\{1\}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_j^n \chi_{[l+j-n]2}\Big)\upsilon_{\{1\}}.$$

So, by induction, $\delta_{\{1\}}\chi_{[l]2}\upsilon_{\{1\}}$ is a linear combination of the paths in $B_{\{1\},\{1\}}$, as required. Therefore, we can conclude that the two algebras are isomorphic. □

8. The integral case of type A_3

In this section, we will compute the torsion relations required for presenting $E_q(\mathfrak{S}_4)$. Recall in this case $S = \{1,2,3\}$, the Hasse quiver $\mathcal{Q}$ given in (5.0.1), and the path algebra $\mathcal{Q}_q = \mathbb{Z}[q]\mathcal{Q}$. Note that the Dynkin graph automorphism that interchanges 1 and 3 and fixes 2 induces a *graph automorphism* $\sigma : \mathcal{Q}_q \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_q$. We will also use *subgraph automorphisms* induced by the graph automorphism on parabolic subgroups.

Given a path p from I to J, denote the path $\upsilon_{\emptyset,I}p\delta_{J,\emptyset}$ by $p^\wedge$.

Lemma 8.1. *Maintain the notation introduced in Section 7. The following are torsion relations in* $\mathcal{Q}_q/\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle$.[2]

(T1) $\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1\}} = \upsilon_{\{1\}\{1,2\}}\,\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}} + qe_{\{1\}}$;

(T2) $\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}} = \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\,\delta_{\{1,3\},\{3\}}$;

(T3) $\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}} = \upsilon_{\{1,2\},S}\delta_{S,\{1,2\}} + q(q+1)e_{\{1,2\}}$;

(T4) $\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}} = \upsilon_{\{1,2\},S}\delta_{S,\{1,3\}} + q\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}$;

[2]Here each relation should be understood as the set of the relations obtained by applying (sub)graph automorphisms and the anti-involution (5.0.2) to the relation. Thus, (T1) really represents 4 relations.

Proof. (T1) and (T2) are rank 2 torsion relations from Theorem 7.3. We prove (T4), and (T3) can be done similarly. If we put

$$p = \upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset} \text{ and } l = \upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(q+1)(\mathrm{LHS})^\wedge &= \upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}(q+1)e_{\{2\}}\cdot p\\
&= (\chi_2\chi_1\chi_2 - q\chi_2)\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}}p, \quad (\text{by } (\mathcal{J}1),\ (\mathcal{J}3))\\
&= (\chi_2\chi_1 - qe_\emptyset)\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}}(q+1)p\\
&= (\chi_2\chi_1 - qe_\emptyset)\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\cdot(q+1)\cdot l\\
&= (\chi_2\chi_1 - qe_\emptyset)(\chi_3\chi_2\chi_3 - q\chi_3)\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}\cdot l, \quad (\text{by } (\mathcal{J}1),\ (\mathcal{J}3))\\
&= (\chi_2\chi_1 - qe_\emptyset)(\chi_3\chi_2 - qe_\emptyset)\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}(q+1)\cdot l, \quad (\text{by } (\mathcal{J}1))\\
&= (q+1)(\chi_2\chi_1\chi_3\chi_2 - q\chi_2\chi_1 - q\chi_3\chi_2 + q^2e_\emptyset)\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}l\\
&= (q+1)(\chi_2\chi_1\chi_3\chi_2 - q\chi_2\chi_1 - q\chi_3\chi_2 + q^2e_\emptyset)\chi_3\chi_1, \quad (\text{by } (\mathcal{J}3))\\
&= (q+1)(\chi_S + q\chi_{\{1,2\}}\chi_3)\\
&= (q+1)[(\delta_{\{1,2\},S}\upsilon_{S,\{1,3\}})^\wedge + q(\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}})^\wedge], \quad (\text{by } (\mathcal{J}3)),(\mathcal{J}1)).
\end{aligned}$$

So by defnition and Proposition 7.1, (T4) is a torsion relation. □

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{Q}_q$ generated by the quasi-idempotent relations and sandwich relations together the four sets relations (T1)–(T4). By footnote 2, both the anti-automorphism τ and the graph automorphism σ stabilise $\mathcal{K}$. Let

$$A = \mathcal{Q}_q/\mathcal{K}.$$

Then τ and σ induces an anti-automorphism and an automorphism on A. We will use the same letters to denote them. Observe that (cf. the proof of Theorem 5.1)

$$\bar{e}_\emptyset A\bar{e}_\emptyset \cong H_q. \tag{8.1.1}$$

Denote by $P(J,I)_\emptyset$ the subspace in A, consisting of paths from I to J via $\emptyset$ and $\chi_{\underline{w}} = \chi_{s_{i_1}}\cdots\chi_{s_{i_t}}$ for a given reduced word $w = s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_t} \in W$. We call a path of the form $\upsilon_{L,K}\delta_{K,I}$ *a hook*, where $I, L \subset K$. Further, if $|K| = i$, then the hook is called a level-i hook. The relations (T1) and (T2) show that a level-2 hook is a linear combination of paths without level-2 hooks.

Lemma 8.2. *The space $P(J,I)_\emptyset$ is spanned by*

$$\mathscr{P}^\emptyset_{J,I} = \{\delta_{J,\emptyset}\chi_{\underline{w}}\upsilon_{\emptyset,I} \mid w \in D_{IJ} \text{ and } \underline{w} \text{ is a fixed reduced expression of } w\}.$$

Proof. If both I and J are the empty set $\emptyset$, by the isomorphism in (8.1.1), it is done. Otherwise, by symmetry we may assume that $I \neq \emptyset$. We claim that any path via the vertex $\emptyset$ is a linear combination of paths $\delta_{J,\emptyset}Y\upsilon_{\emptyset,I}$, where Y is a path from $\emptyset$ to $\emptyset$, and then the lemma again follows from the isomorphism in (8.1.1). Let p be a path in $P(J,I)_\emptyset$. We may assume that p is not of the form $\delta_{J,\emptyset}Y\upsilon_{\emptyset,I}$. Write $p = lr$, where r is minimal ending at $\emptyset$. If $r \in \mathrm{span}(\mathscr{P}^\emptyset_{\emptyset,I})$, by symmetry, $p \in \mathrm{span}(\mathscr{P}^\emptyset_{J,I})$. We

need only to prove the claim for r. By the assumption, there is a hook in r. Write $r = \upsilon_{\emptyset,K}\delta_{K,L}r'$, where $L \subset K$ and $|L|$ is minimal. Further the cardinality $|K| > 1$, by assumption.

Now by the sandwich relations (if necessary) together with (T1) or (T2) when $K = 2$, or with (T4) when $|K| = 3$ (i.e. $K = S$), the hook $\upsilon_{\emptyset,K}\delta_{K,L}$ is a linear combination $\sum_Y a_Y Y \upsilon_{\emptyset,L}$, where $a_Y \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and Y is a path from $\emptyset$ to $\emptyset$. So

$$r = \Big(\sum_Y a_Y Y\Big)\upsilon_{\emptyset,L}r'$$

Note that for any r' in the sum, $\upsilon_{\emptyset,L}r'$ is a path ending in $\emptyset$ and the number of hooks in $\upsilon_{\emptyset,L}r'$ is less than that of r. By induction, the claim hold for each $\upsilon_{\emptyset,L}r'$ and thus for r. This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 8.3. *The ideal $\mathcal{K}$ is generated by the sandwich relations, quasi-idempotent relations and the torsion relations of type* (T1)–(T4)*. Thus we obtain a presentation of $E_q(\mathfrak{S}_4)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.*

Before giving a proof of the theorem, we modify Williamson's algorithm [29, 1.3.4, 2.2.7] that computes reduced translation sequences and a basis for $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_q}(x_I H_q, x_J H_q)$ for all I and J. See also the discussion at the end of Section 6. We then use the modified algorithm to produce a set B_{JI} of *standard paths* from I to J in the following list. We will show that those standard paths span the algebra A in the proof of Theorem 8.3.

$$B_{S,I} = \{\delta_{S,I}\};\ B_{\emptyset,\emptyset} = \{\chi_{\underline{w}} \mid w \in W \text{ and } \underline{w} \text{ is a fixed reduced expression of } w\};$$
$$B_{\{1,2\},\{1,2\}} = \{e_{\{1,2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1,2\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,2\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1,3\},\{1,3\}} = \{e_{\{1,3\}},\ \delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\,\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1,3\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1,2\},\{1,3\}} = \{\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1,2\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1,2\},\{2,3\}} = \{\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1,2\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{2,3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1\},\{1,3\}} = \{\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}},\ \delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}},\ \delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_3\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1\},\{1,2\}} = \{\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,2\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1\},\{2,3\}} = \{\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{2,3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{2\},\{1,2\}} = \{\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}},\ \delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_3\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{2\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,2\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{2\},\{1,3\}} = \{\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}},$$
$$\upsilon_{\{2\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1,3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1\},\{1\}} = \{e_{\{1\}}, \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1\}},$$
$$\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{1\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{1\},\{2\}} = \{\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}}, \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}},\ \delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{2\}},$$
$$\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{2\}}\};$$

$$B_{\{1\},\{3\}} = \{\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}},\ \delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}},\ \delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}},$$
$$\delta_{\{1\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{3\}},$$
$$\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}},\ \upsilon_{\{1\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{3\}}\};$$
$$B_{\{2\},\{2\}} = \{e_{\{2\}},\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{2\}},\ \delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}},$$
$$\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_3\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}},\ \delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_1\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{2\}},\ \upsilon_{\{2\},S}\,\delta_{S,\{2\}}\};$$

The complete list for the sets of standard paths between any I and J can be obtained by applying (anti-)automorphisms σ and τ to the sets above.

Proof of Theorem 8.3. We first claim that any path $\delta_{J,\emptyset}\chi_{\underline{w}}\upsilon_{\emptyset,I}$ in $\mathscr{P}^{\emptyset}_{J,I}$ is a linear combination of the standard paths given above. Thus, by Lemma 8.2, any path via the empty set $\emptyset$ is a linear combination of the standard paths. This involves case-by-case checking. To illustrate, we show that

$$\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_1\chi_3\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}} = \upsilon_{\{2\},S}\delta_{S,\{1,3\}} + q\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\,\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}$$
$$+ q\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\,\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}} + q(q+1)\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS} &= \delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_1\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}\cdot\delta_{\{3\},\emptyset}\chi_2\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}\cdot\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}\\
&\overset{(T1)}{=} \delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_1\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}(\upsilon_{\{3\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}} + qe_{\{3\}})\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}\\
&= \delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_1\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}} + q\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\chi_1\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}\\
&\overset{(T1)}{=} (\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}} + qe_{\{2\}})\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}} + q(q+1)\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\\
&= \upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\cdot\delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}} + q\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}+\\
&\qquad + q(q+1)\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}}\\
&\overset{(T4)}{=} \upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\upsilon_{\{1,2\},S}\delta_{S,\{1,3\}} + q\upsilon_{\{2\},\{1,2\}}\delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}+\\
&q\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\},\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}} + q(q+1)\delta_{\{2\},\emptyset}\upsilon_{\emptyset,\{1,3\}} = \text{RHS}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by Lemma 7.2, any path from I to J via S is a multiple of $\upsilon_{J,S}\delta_{S,I}$, which is a standard path. So it suffices to consider those paths that go via neither S nor the empty set $\emptyset$, i.e., paths in the following graph



(8.3.1)

Further we only need to consider non-standard paths that do not contain

(1) $\delta_{J,I}\upsilon_{I,J}$, where $I \sqsubset J$ (and $|I| = 1$). This equals $\frac{\pi(J)}{\pi(I)}e_J$ by the quasi-idempotent relations. So paths containing them can be shortened.
(2) the hooks $\upsilon_{\{s\},\{s,t\}}\delta_{\{s,t\},\{s\}}$ for $\{s,t\} = \{1,2\}$ or $\{2,3\}$, $\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}}$ and its reverse. As these hooks are standard and paths containing them are a linear

combinations of paths via the empty set $\emptyset$. By the claim above, this means that paths containing the hooks are linear combinations of standard paths.

In other words, we just consider non-standard paths in the above graph that does not contain cycles of length 2 and paths $\{s\} \to \{1,3\} \to \{t\}$ ($\{s,t\} = \{1,3\}$). Hence, any such non-standard path must contain

$$r = \delta_{\{1,2\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,3\}}\delta_{\{1,3\},\{1\}}\upsilon_{\{1\},\{1,2\}} \quad \text{or} \quad t = \delta_{\{1,2\},\{2\}}\upsilon_{\{2\},\{2,3\}}\delta_{\{2,3\}\{3\}}\upsilon_{\{3\},\{1,3\}},$$

or one of the paths obtained from r and t by symmetry. Now, by relations (T3)–(T4) and the claim above, such a path is a linear combination of standard paths, as required. This finishes the proof. □

References

[1] A. Berenstein and D. Kazhdan *Geometric and unipotent crystals. II. From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases Quantum Groups*, Contemp. Math., vol. 433, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2007), pp. 13–88.

[2] R. W. Carter, *Representation theory of the* 0*-Hecke algebra*, J. Algebra 104 (1986), 1, 89–103.

[3] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parshall, J. Wang, *Finite Dimensional Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 150, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence R. I. (2008).

[4] B. Deng and G. Yang, *On* 0*-Schur algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), 1253–1267.

[5] R. Dipper and G. James, *The q-Schur algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 59 (1989), 23–50.

[6] S. Donkin, *On Schur algebras and related algebras I, II*, J. Algebra 104 (1986), 310-328; 111 (1987), 354–364.

[7] J. Du, *Kahzdan–Lusztig bases and isomorphism theorems for q-Schur algebras*, Contemp. Math. 139 (1992), 121–140.

[8] J. Du, *IC bases and quantum linear groups*. Algebraic groups and their generalizations: quantum and infinite-dimensional methods (University Park, PA, 1991), 135–148, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 56, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[9] J. Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Stratifying endomorphism algebras associated to Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra 203 (1998), 169–210.

[10] J. Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Extending Hecke endomorphism algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 279 (2015), 229–254.

[11] J. Du and L. Scott, *Lusztig conjectures, old and new, I*, J. reine angel. Math, 455 (1994), 141–182.

[12] J. Du and L. Scott, *The q-Schur*^{2} *algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 352 (2000), 5325–4353.

[13] M. Fayers, 0*-Hecke algebras of finite Coxeter groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 199 (2005), 27–41.

[14] B. Elias, *The two-color Soergel calculus*, Compos. Math. 152 (2016), 327–398.

[15] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type* A_n, Internat.Math. Res. Not. 3 (1993), 67–85.

[16] X. He, *A subalgebra of* 0*-Hecke algebra*, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 4030–4039.

[17] F. Hivert, J. Novelli and J. Thibon, *Yang-Baxter bases of 0-Hecke algebras and representation theory of 0-Ariki-Koike-Shoji algebras*, Adv. Math. 205 (2006), 504–548.

[18] B. T. Jensen and X. Su, *A geometric construction of* 0*-Schur and* 0*-Hecke algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 219 (2015), 277–307.

[19] B. T. Jensen, X. Su and G. Yang, *Projective modules for* 0*-Schur algebras*, preprint (submitted).

[20] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of U(gl(N + 1)), Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Physics 11 (1986), 247–252.

[21] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), 155–174.

[22] M. Mackaay, M. Stosić and P. Vaz, *A diagrammatic categorification of the q-Schur algebra*, Quantum Topol. 4 (2013), 1–75.

[23] M. Mackaay and A.-L. Thiel, *A diagrammatic categorification of the affine q-Schur algebra* $\widehat{S}(n,n)$ *for* $n \geq 3$, Pacific J. Math. 178 (2015), 201–233.
[24] H. Mitsuhashi, *Schur–Weyl reciprocity between the quantum superalgebra and the Iwahori–Hecke algebra*, Algebr. Represent. Theory 9 (2006), 309–322.
[25] P. N. Norton, 0-*Hecke algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 27 (1979), 337–357.
[26] M. Reineke, *The monoid of families of quiver representations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 84 (2002), 663–685.
[27] J. Stembridge, *A short derivation of the Möbius function for the Bruhat order*, J. Algebraic Combin. 25 (2007), 141–148.
[28] G. Williamson, *Singular Soergel Bimodules,* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 2011, 4555–4632.
[29] G. Williamson, *Singular Soergel Bimodules,* Doctoral thesis, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany, 2008.

JD: School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: j.du@unsw.edu.au

BTJ: NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Teknologvn. 22, 2815 Gjøvik, Norway
E-mail address: bernt.jensen@ntnu.no

XS: Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, U.K.
E-mail address: xs214@bath.ac.uk